International Journal of Emerging Engineering Research and Technology Volume 3, Issue 6, June 2015, PP 49-53 ISSN 2349-4395 (Print) & ISSN 2349-4409 (Online)

Theoretical Study of the No-Cloning Theorem

Koji Nagata¹, Tadao Nakamura²

¹Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, Korea ²Department of Information and Computer Science, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

ABSTRACT

We review the no-cloning theorem that relies on the properties of the quantum theory. Usually, the no-cloning theorem implies that two quantum states are identical or orthogonal if we allow a cloning to be on the two quantum states. Here, we rely on the maximum value of the square of an expected value. We may result in the fact that the two quantum states under consideration could not be orthogonal if we consider the maximum value of the square of the expected value. The no-cloning theorem may imply that the two quantum states under consideration may be identical if we consider the maximum value of the square of the expected value. The no-cloning theorem itself has this character.

PACS Numbers: 03.67.-a (Quantum information theory), 03.65.Ta (Quantum measurement theory), 03.67.Lx (Quantum computer)

INTRODUCTION

Quantum mechanics gives accurate and at times remarkably accurate numerical predictions. Much experimental data has fit to the quantum predictions for long time.

The no-cloning theorem is a result of quantum mechanics that forbids the creation of identical copies of an arbitrary unknown quantum state. It was stated by Wootters and Zurek [1] and Dieks [2] in 1982, and has profound implications in quantum computing and related fields.

The state of one system can be entangled with the state of another system. For instance, one can use the Controlled NOT gate and the Walsh-Hadamard gate to entangle two qubits. This is not cloning. No well-defined state can be attributed to a subsystem of an entangled state. Cloning is a process whose result is a separable state with identical factors. According to Asher Peres and David Kaiser, the publication of the no-cloning theorem was prompted by a proposal of Nick Herbert [3] for a superluminal communication device using quantum entanglement.

A literature concerning quantum cloning topic can be seen in Ref. [4]. Our discussion provides the good security of quantum cryptography. The no-cloning theorem in this discussion implies that the two quantum states under consideration are identical even though an eavesdropper allows a cloning to be on the two quantum states. A probability that the eavesdropper selects unknown and identical quantum state

is very small. In this paper, we reconsider the no-cloning theorem that relies on the properties of the quantum theory. Usually, the no-cloning theorem implies that two quantum states are identical or orthogonal if we allow a cloning to be on the two quantum states.

We review the no-cloning theorem as follows:

$$U|\phi\rangle_A|e\rangle_B = |\phi\rangle_A|\phi\rangle_B. \tag{1}$$

U is the time evolution operator. Alice has a quantum state $|\phi\rangle_A$. Bob has a quantum state $|e\rangle_B$. Bob's state changes into $|\phi\rangle_B$ by using the time evolution operator. Thereby Alice's state is cloned into Bob's state. Let us consider the inner product of them. The inner product is explained as follows: A

*Address for correspondence:

ko-mi-na@yahoo.co.jp

Koji Nagata & Tadao Nakamura "Theoretical Study of the No-Cloning Theorem"

generalization of the scalar product. Any product $\langle u,v \rangle$ of vectors which satisfies the following conditions. It must be distributive over addition, be reflexive, $\langle au,v \rangle$ must equal $a\langle u,v \rangle$ and $\langle v,v \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0$ [5].

Then we have

$$\langle e|_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \phi|_{A}|\psi\rangle_{A}|e\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle e|_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \phi|_{A}U^{\dagger}U|\psi\rangle_{A}|e\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle \phi|_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \phi|_{A}|\psi\rangle_{A}|\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$
(2)

Thus,

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_A = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle_A \langle \phi | \psi \rangle_B \tag{3}$$

By omitting subscripts A and B, we have

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 \tag{4}$$

We derive the following proposition:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 = 0 \lor \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 = 1 \tag{5}$$

Therefore the no-cloning theorem implies that two quantum states are identical or orthogonal if we allow a cloning to be on the two quantum states. By squaring each propositions, we have

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 0 \lor \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 1 \tag{6}$$

We would assume that the two propositions (5) and (6) would be always true. We may not assume the two quantum states are orthogonal:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 0 \tag{7}$$

When we consider the possible maximum value of the square of the expected value $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4$ which is one. This may mean that we would not assume $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = 0$. And we may assume $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = 1$. The no-cloning theorem may imply that the two quantum states under consideration may be identical when we consider the maximum value of the square of the expected value in the discussion below.

THE NO-CLONING THEOREM BASED ON THE MAXIMUM VALUE OF THE SOUARE OF AN EXPECTED VALUE

Orthogonal Case

We consider a quantum expected value as

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 = 0. \tag{8}$$

The above quantum expected value is zero if the two quantum states under consideration $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are orthogonal. We derive a necessary condition for the quantum expected value given in (8). By squaring the proposition (8), we derive the following proposition

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 0. \tag{9}$$

Whether the Orthogonal Case Can Be Possible

On the other hand, a mean value E satisfies a probability interpretation of quantum measurement theory if it can be written as

$$E = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_l(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2)}{m}$$
(10)

where I denotes a label and r is the result of quantum measurements. The notation $r_l(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2)$ implies that the Ith outcome of quantum measurements when we would measure the expected value $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2$ in a thought experiment. We can assume the value of r is $\{1\}$ 1.

Koji Nagata & Tadao Nakamura "Theoretical Study of the No-Cloning Theorem"

In what follows, we would not assume the two quantum states are orthogonal, that is, $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 0$. and we consider the possible maximum value of the square of the expected value $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4$ which is one.

Assume the quantum mean value given in (10) admits a probability interpretation of quantum measurement theory. One has the following proposition concerning a probability interpretation of quantum measurement theory

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2(m) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m r_l(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2)}{m}.$$
(11)

We can assume as follows by Strong Law of Large Numbers [6],

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 (+\infty) = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 \tag{12}$$

Assume the proposition (11) would be true. By changing the label l into l', we have the same quantum mean value as follows

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2(m) = \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^m r_{l'}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2)}{m}.$$
(13)

An important note here is that the value of the right-hand-side of (11) is equal to the value of the right-hand-side of (13) because we only change the label l into l'.

We are very interested in the maximum value of the square of an expected value in a probability interpretation of quantum measurement theory. Therefore we focus on each measurement results providing a probability. In fact, we can easily solve the problem when we may use the Kronecker delta because minus one multiplied by minus one is plus one and plus one multiplied by plus one is plus one, and then all values are plus one. And we obtain the maximum value when we take the summation of them. In short, we can multiply a measurement result by the same measurement result. Therefore, we may introduce the Kronecker delta in the discussion below.

The Kronecker delta is explained as follows: The two variable function $\delta ll'$ that takes the value 1 when l = l' and the value 0 otherwise. If the elements of a square matrix are defined by the delta function, the matrix produced will be the identity matrix [5].

We have

$$\begin{split} &\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2}(m) \times \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2}(m) \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2})}{m} \times \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m} r_{l'}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2})}{m} \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2})}{\sum_{l=1}^{m}} \times \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m} r_{l'}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2})}{\sum_{l'=1}^{m}} \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m}}{m} \cdot (r_{l}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{2}))^{2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m}}{m} = 1. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{14}$$

Here δll' is the Kronecker delta. We use the following fact

$$(r_l(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2))^2 = 1 \tag{15}$$

Thus we may derive a proposition concerning the maximum value of the square of the mean value, that is,

Koji Nagata & Tadao Nakamura "Theoretical Study of the No-Cloning Theorem"

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2(m) \times \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2(m) \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} = 1$$
 (16)

From Strong Law of Large Numbers, we may have

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 \times \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^2 \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} = 1$$
 (17)

Hence we may derive the following proposition concerning the maximum value of the square of the expected value

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} = 1 \tag{18}$$

Thus, when l = l', we may have

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 1 \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, when 1 < 1', we may have

$$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{l'}}{\sum_{l=1}^{l'}} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{4} \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{l'}}{\sum_{l=1}^{l'}} 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{4} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{l'}}{\sum_{l=1}^{l'}} \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} = \frac{l'}{l'}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{4} = 1.$$
(20)

Finally, when l' < l, we may have

$$\frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{l} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{4} \times \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{\delta_{ll'}} = \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{l} 1}{\sum_{l'=1}^{l} 1}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{4} \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{l} \delta_{ll'}}{\sum_{l'=1}^{l} \delta_{ll'}} = \frac{l}{l}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{4} = 1.$$
(21)

Hence we may have

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 1 \tag{22}$$

Therefore, we may not assume the two quantum states under consideration could be orthogonal:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 0 \tag{23}$$

And we may assume that the two quantum states under consideration could be identical:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = 1 \wedge \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^4 = 1.$$
 (24)

Hence we may assume the following case

$$|\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle. \tag{25}$$

The no-cloning theorem may imply that the two quantum states under consideration could be identical if we consider the maximum value of the square of the expected value.

Why do our discussions claim that the expected value takes 1 and it does not take 0? Maybe a probability 0 is not physical, we think. The problem is open.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have reviewed the no-cloning theorem that relies on the properties of the quantum theory. Usually, the no-cloning theorem has implied that two quantum states are identical or orthogonal if we allow a cloning to be on the two quantum states. Here, we have relied on the maximum value of the square of an expected value. We may have resulted in the fact that the two quantum states under consideration could not be orthogonal if we consider the maximum value of the square of the expected value. The no-cloning theorem may have implied that the two quantum states under consideration may be identical if we consider the maximum value of the square of the expected value. The no-cloning theorem itself has had this character.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Wootters and W. Zurek, Nature 299 802 (1982).
- [2] D. Dieks, Phys. Lett. A 92, 271(1982).
- [3] N. Herbert, Found. Phys. 12, 1171 (1982).
- [4] V. Scarani, S. Iblisdir, N. Gisin and A. Acin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1225 (2005).
- [5] C. Clapham and J. Nicholson, the Concise Oxford Dictionary of Mathematics (Oxford University Press, 2014), Fifth ed.
- [6] In probability theory, the law of large numbers is a theorem that describes the result of performing the same experiment a large number of times. According to the law, the average of the results obtained from a large number of trials should be close to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more trials are performed. The strong law of large numbers states that the sample average converges almost surely to the expected value.

AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHY



Koji Nagata graduated from The Graduate University of Advanced Sciences' PhD (School of Advanced Sciences) course in March, 2003, and received his PhD degree from the university. His academic work has been broadly based in the property of the quantum theory.



Tadao Nakamura received his PhD in Electronics using Computer Aided Design in 1972 from Tohoku University. Dr. Nakamura is currently a Professor of the Department of Computer and Mathematical Sciences at Tohoku University. He was founding chair of the department in 1993. Prior to that he was a Professor of the Department of Mechanical (Machine Intelligence and Systems) Engineering at Tohoku University and a Visiting Lecturer in the Department of Information Science

at the University of Tokyo. From 1994-98 he was a Visiting Professor of Electrical Engineering at Stanford University. His recent research interests are in computer architecture, especially pipelining based microarchitecture, and low power concepts in chips, in general. He has been Organizing Committee Chair of the COOL Chips conference series fully sponsored by the IEEE Computer Society. Dr. Nakamura was elected Fellow of the IEEE in 2002 for contributions to pipelined computer architecture and computer engineering education.